VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUItCO:ORTOF SHENANDOAH COUNTY -.

BRIAN CHAPMAN,

Petitioner,

ν

DocketNo.:CHO5-.198

~RENDA CHAPMAN, Respondent.,

 $\mathbf{E}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{IX:nn}}}_{\mathbf{Vnv}}$

This matter came to be heard on the 2nd day of December, 2005, for an ore tenus hearing

upon Petitioner's appeal of a Shenandoah County Juvenile and Domestic Relations Distri

Order denying Petitioner's Motion to A1nend Cl

1stody. The Petitioner appeared pro se, the

Respondent appeared in person and by her counsel Nancy J. Glickman, Esq., and the child

appeared by her guardian ad litem, Larry Mayer, Esq.

'he testimony of witnesses was taken and argument was made by the parties.

Jpon issuing a letter opinion, but prior to entry of an order,

Petitioner filed a Motion to Reconsider

UPON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, the Court makes the following Findings of

Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner has failed to demonstrate a material change in circumstances such as to warrant a change *in* custody and/or increased visitation.

- 2. Further, even assuming arguendo that such a change has occurred, after consideration of the factors contained in Va Code Section 20-124.3, it is not in the best interests of the c that there be any change in the current custodial and visitation scheme.
- 3. Petitioner's past actions and behaviors constitute a risk to the child herein. However,