

Brian R Chapman  
136 N Place Lane Apt. 2  
Strasburg, Virginia 22657  
PH/FAX (540) 465-8224  
[Mrbrc@shentel.net](mailto:Mrbrc@shentel.net)

July 23, 2006

Judge, Dennis Lee Hupp  
Shenandoah County Circuit Court  
112 South Main Street  
Woodstock, Virginia 22664-1423

Re: Chapman v Chapman  
Case No. CH05-198  
Recommendation for continued psychological counseling

Judge:

In regard to the above referenced matter, I OBJECT to this continued 'witch-hunt'. I have neither committed nor been criminally charged/convicted of any crime of a sexual or other nature against any my own or any other child. My only 'crime' apparently is wanting a "normal" relationship with my child despite an acrimonious relationship with the child's mother. I have already submitted to and completed "sex offender" type testing and counseling at my own expense (*through The Augustus Institute and Dr. Miller*), the case record contains the findings. I underwent an additional evaluation by order of the JD& R court performed by Dr. Pate, the court record contains his report and we heard from him directly in your courtroom. And by this Courts order I did see Dr. Wright, fortunately he found it not to be in his interest to pursue a "forced" therapeutic relationship with me.

The bottom line from the completed evaluations and counseling, is that my daughter is at very low to no risk of suffering abuse of any kind at my hand. As a matter of fact, the child is in much greater danger of being abused by either her mother or mother's boyfriend(s). The guardian is aware of the statistics but continues to advocate denying the child a normal relationship with her father, who she had NEVER been shown to be in imminent (*going to happen NOW!*) danger of being abused by.

IF this Court/judge insists on imposing additional counseling with yet another "hired gun", I will demand that Mr. Larry J. Mayer, the guardian be forced to produce his license to practice law that he received from a bona fide state executive branch agency, not a bar association I.D. card or bar association card. Professionals (e.g. doctors, architects, electricians, carpenters, plumbers, hair dressers, etc.) can only be licensed by the state executive branch and I want to exercise my right to verify that he has been properly licensed. And to verify that he has the expertise to be a guardian ad litem with medical expertise to be able to recommend medical treatment for me (Sex Offender Treatment counseling is medical treatment) I would also require the verification of Mr. Mayer's, Ms.

Glickman, Ms. Chapman and this judge to allow referral to a Virginia Sex Offender Treatment provider.

I would also insist that if I am FORCED, to see another 'counselor' that both the judge and guardian be required to see the same provider to make sure that neither the judge or the guardian are hiding anything and to insure that all parties in the action, including the judge, are ALL "on the same page" with regard to what's going on in this case.

If FORCED to see this so-called "expert", I will ask this "expert" numerous questions as to his expertise (*similar to those posed to Dr. Wright*), what philosophy or psychiatry he studied under (Freud, Skinner, Mengele, and whether the "expert" is familiar with Hetherington, Wallerstein, Kelly, Rutter, etc. who have done major work on children of divorce. Also, I would like this expert to state whether he is familiar with Dr. Richard Gardner's work on parental alienation, as well as Strauss and Murray's extensive and exhaustive work on who really are the perpetrators of domestic violence.

Finally, If this judge/Court insists on sending me, against my will and consent, to another counselor. I will insist that either Mr. Mayer, the Court, or Ms. Chapman cough up the \$100 per hour fee for this alleged "expert" since I did not request it and have not and will not consent to it and Mr. Mayer is violating his ethics code by marketing other people's services for a fee.

In addition, the civil suit (U.S.C. 1983 civil rights violation) action will come to pass if this court continues to deny my fundamental rights with regard to the care, custody and control of this minor child. Failure of this court to recognize and enforce my rights EQUALLY with those of Ms. Chapman/ the mother, brings this case under the jurisdiction of the U.S. District Court. This Court/judge, has a duty to **know** the law and dispense justice . I am a sovereign individual and I demand that my rights be recognized and further demand that as a alleged "public servant" you would do your job as outlined by the constitutions of both Virginia and the United States.

Respectfully Submitted:

Brian R. Chapman, father of Briana R Chapman  
Sui Juris, reserving all rights surrendering/waiving none.

Cc: Nancy Glickman, Esq  
Larry J. Mayer, Guardian